

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,461	07/22/2003	Stephen G. Dame	1947-7-3	9163
996 7590 04/05/2007 GRAYBEAL, JACKSON, HALEY LLP 155 - 108TH AVENUE NE SUITE 350 BELLEVUE, WA 98004-5901			EXAMINER	
			JAMAL, ALEXANDER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b>52252</b> , <b>62</b> , yeece . <b>6</b>			2614	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE .	
3 MONTHS		04/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/625,461	DAME ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alexander Jamal	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	<u>ıly 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished and accomplished and accomplished and accomplished to the separation of the separation are declaration in the separation are declaration are declaration are declaration are declaration are declaration are declaration are declaration.  2. **Priority** U.S.C. § 119**  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign are declaration.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/625,461

Art Unit: 2614

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1- rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Forgues et al. (5708722).

As per claim 1, Forgues discloses a fast acting (Col 2 lines 4-16) noise suppression device with an input transducer 16 and an output transducer 27 (Fig. 1). A noise suppression circuit (blocks 20,22,30,32) effects variable amplification levels over time periods between 4 and 100 ms (the 50ms noted in Col 2 lines 30-35). The audio signals will be amplified based on their volume or energy level (signal level) (ABSTRACT). The low volume suppression range can be varied by changing the values of resistors RF,RA and RB (Col 5 lines 20-35).

As per claim 9, claim rejected for the same reasons as the claim 1 rejection.

There is a high, low and medium level of amplification depending on the signal level (Col 4 lines 1-20).

As per claims 2,3,5,6,10,11 there is a smooth, continuous amplification level provided by the device during the transitions (Col 6 lines 40-60).

Art Unit: 2614

As per claim 4, there is a high, low and medium level of amplification depending on the signal level (Col 4 lines 1-20).

As per claims 7,12, the low volume suppression range can be varied by changing the values of resistors RF,RA and RB (Col 5 lines 20-35).

As per claims 8,13, claims rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 14-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forgues et al. (5708722), and further in view of Mauro (6122384).

As per claim 14, Forgues discloses claim 14 as per the claim 1 rejection, but does not specify that the gain characteristic is set by a look-up table.

Mauro discloses a noise suppression system where the gain stage may be set by a look-up table (to implement the characteristic shown in Fig. 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application to implement a look-up table for the purpose of setting the desired gain response.

As per claims 15,16, claims rejected for the same reasons as claims 2,3.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,461

Art Unit: 2614

As per claim 17, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 4.

As per claim 18, claim rejected for the same reasons as claim 7.

As per claim 19, it is rejected as per the claim 1 rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 571-272-7498. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications and 571-273-8300 for After Final communications.

AJ April 2, 2007

Page 4